arXiv:math/0406032v2 [math.CV] 2 Aug 2004

SUPER TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON LINE BUNDLES

ROBERT BERMAN

Abstract. Let L^k be a high power of a hermitian holomorphic line bundle over a complex manifold X. Given a differential form f on X, we define a super Toeplitz operator T_f acting on the space of harmonic $(0,q)$-forms with values in L^k, with symbol f. The asymptotic distribution of its eigenvalues, when k tends to infinity, is obtained in terms of the symbol of the operator and the curvature of the line bundle L, given certain conditions on the curvature. For example, already when $q=0$, i.e. the case of holomorphic sections, this generalizes a result of Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin to semi-positive line bundles. The asympotics are obtained from the asymptotics of the Bergman kernels of the corresponding harmonic spaces. Applications to sampling are also given.

1. Introduction

Let (X,ω) be an $n-$dimensional compact hermitian manifold and let L be a hermitian holomorphic line bundle over X. The fiber metric on L will be denoted by ϕ. It can be thought of as a collection of local functions: let s be a local holomorphic trivializing section of L, then locally, $|s(z)|^2_\phi = e^{-\phi(z)}$ and the canonical curvature two-form of L is $\partial\overline{\partial}\phi$. Denote by $X(q)$ be the subset of X where the curvature two-form of L is non-degenerate and has exactly q negative eigenvalues. The notation $\eta_p := \eta^p/p!$ will be used in the sequel, so that the volume form on X may be written as ω_n.

The spaces $H^0(X,L^k)$, consisting of global holomorphic sections with values in high powers of L, appear naturally in complex and algebraic geometry, as well as in mathematical physics. In many applicaions the line bundle L is positive i.e. its curvature two-form is positive and the asymptotic properties of the sequence of Hilbert spaces $H^0(X,L^k)$ have been studied thorougly in this case. For example the asymptotic behaviour of the corresponding Bergman kernels is known and can be used to study asymptotic properties of Toeplitz operators acting on $H^0(X,L^k)$ as well as asymptotic conditions on the density of the distribution of sampling points on the manifold X (see [2] for a recent survey from this point of view). The aim of the present article is to extend these results in two directions: to line bundles with weaker curvature properties than positivity, such as semi-positivity (part 1) and to harmonic $(0,q)-$ forms

---

Key words and phrases. Line bundles, Cohomology, Harmonic forms, Holomorphic sections, Bergman kernel, Extremal function. *MSC (2000):* 32A25, 32L10, 32L20.

with values in L^k (part 2). To emphasize the analogy between holomorphic sections and harmonic forms, some rudiments of the theory of super manifolds is recalled. The super formalism also offers a compact notation.

In part 1, following [15] and [2], everything is reduced to knowing the leading asymptotic behaviour of the Bergman kernel $K(x,y)$. The asymptotics, in turn, are obtained using a new and comparatively elementary approach based on the method used in [1] to prove local holomorphic Morse inequalities. The main application is a generalization of a theorem of Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin that expresses the asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues of a Toeplitz operator in terms of the symbol of the operator [5], [13]. When L is positive the associated dual disc bundle over X is strictly pseudoconvex. One can then profit from the knowledge of the Bergman kernel on a strictly pseudoconvex manifold [6]. However, when L is only semi-positive one would have to use the corresponding result on a weakly pseudoconvex manifold, which is not available. In fact, a recent counter example of Donnelly [11] to a conjecture due to Siu, shows that the tangential Cauchy-Riemann operator on the boundary of the dual disc bundle does not have closed range. This property is essential to the previous approaches to the asymptotics of the Bergman kernel.

In part 2 the approach in part 1 is extended to study the Bergman kernel of the space of harmonic $(0,q)-$ forms with values in L^k, considered as a bundle valued form on $X \times X$. The main application is a generalization of the theorem of Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin to Toeplitz operators, whose symbol is a differential form on X. These operators are called super Toeplitz operators and they are closely related to the operators introduced in [3] in the context of Berezin-Toeplitz quantization of symplectic super manifolds.

It should be added that part one is just a special case of part two (when q is equal to zero), except for the applications to sampling. However, it has been included to motivate the more general discussion given in the second part.

Part 1. Holomorphic sections

Let (ψ_i) be an orthormal base for $H^0(X,L)$. Denote by π_1 and π_2 the projections on the factors of $X \times X$. The *Bergman kernel* of the Hilbert space $H^0(X,L)$ is defined by

$$K(x,y) = \sum_i \psi_i(x) \otimes \overline{\psi_i(y)}.$$

Hence, $K(x,y)$ is a section of the pulled back line bundle $\pi_1^*(L) \otimes \overline{\pi_2^*(L)}$ over $X \times X$. For a fixed point y we identify $K_y(x) := K(x,y)$ with a section of the hermitian line bundle $L \otimes L_y$, where L_y denotes the line bundle over X, whose constant fiber is the fiber of L over y, with the induced metric. The definition of K is made so that K satisfisfies the following reproducing property

$$\alpha(y) = (\alpha, K_y) \tag{1.1}$$

[1]for any element α of $H^0(X, L)$, which also shows that K is well-defined. In other words K represents the orthogonal projection onto $H^0(X, L)$ in $L^2(X, L)$. The restriction of K to the diagonal is a section of $L \otimes \overline{L}$ and we let $B(x) = |K(x, x)|$ be its pointwise norm:

$$B(x) = \sum_i |\psi_i(x)|^2 .$$

We will refer to $B(x)$ as the *Bergman function* of $H^0(X, L)$. It has the following extremal property:

$$B(x) = \sup |\alpha(x)|^2 , \tag{1.2}$$

where the supremum is taken over all normalized elements α of $H^0(X, L)$. An element realizing the extremum, is called an *extremal at the point* x and is determined up to a complex constant of unit norm. In order to estimate $K(x, y)$ we will have great use for a more general identity. It is just a reformulation of the fact that, by the reproducing property 1.1, $K_x/\sqrt{B(x)}$ may be identified with an extremal at the point x.

Proposition 1.1. *Let α be an extremal at the point x. Then*

$$|K(x, y)|^2 = |\alpha(y)|^2 B(x)$$

Proof. First fix the point x and take a local holomorphic trivialization of L around x. Then we may identify $K(x, y)$ with an element K_x of $H^0(X, L)$. Now we may assume that $\|K_x\| \neq 0$ - it will be clear that otherwise the statement is trivially true. By the reproducing property 1.1 of K the normalized element $K_x/\|K_x\|$ is an extremal of B at x. Furthermore, the reproducing property 1.1 also shows that the squared norm of K_x is given by $(K_x, K_x) = K_x(x) = K(x, x)$. Hence

$$|\alpha(y)|^2 = |K_x(y)|^2 /K(x, x),$$

for any other extremal α of B at x. Since $|K(x, y)|^2 = |K_x(y)|^2 e^{-\phi(x)}$ and since by definition $K(x, x)e^{-\phi(x)} = B(x)$ this proves the proposition. $\square$

Next, we will define certain operators on $H^0(X, L)$. Given a complex-valued bounded mesurable function f on X we define T_f, the so called *Toeplitz operator with symbol* f, by

$$T_f := P \circ f\cdot,$$

where $f\cdot$ denotes the usual multiplication operator on $L^2(X, L)$ and P is the orthogonal projection onto $H^0(X, L)$. Equivalently:

$$(T_f\alpha, \beta) = (f\alpha, \beta), \tag{1.3}$$

---

[1] We are abusing notation here: the scalar product $(\cdot, \cdot)$ on $H^0(X, L)$ determines a pairing of K_y with any element of $H^0(X, L)$, yielding an element of L_y.

for all elements α and β of $H^0(X;L)$. Note that the operator T_f is hermitian if f is real-valued.

When studying asymptotic properties of L^k, all objects introduced above will be defined with respect to the line bundle L^k.

2. ASYMPTOTIC RESULTS FOR BERGMAN KERNELS AND TOEPLITZ OPERATORS.

Let us first see how to prove the following upper bound on the Bergman kernel function $B(x)$:

$$B^k(x) \leq k^n \frac{1}{\pi^n} 1_{X(0)}(x) \left| det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x \right| + o(k^n), \tag{2.1}$$

where we have identified the two-form $i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi$ with an endomorphism, using the metric ω, so that its determinant is well-defined. Integrating this over all of X gives an upper bound on the dimension of the space of holomorphic sections:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0_{\overline{\partial}}(X, L^k) \leq k^n \frac{(-1)^q}{\pi^n} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{X(0)} (\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)^n + o(k^n), \tag{2.2}$$

which is precisely Demailly's holomorphic Morse-inequalities for $(0,q)-$forms when $q=0$. In [1] the inequality 2.1 and it's generalization to harmonic $(0,q)-$ forms were called *local* holomorphic Morse-inequalties. As we will see 2.1 follows from the submean property of holomorhic functions and a simple localization argument. Fix a point x in X and choose complex coordinates z and a holomorphic trivialization s of L around x, such the metric ω is Euclidean with respect to z at 0 and the fiber metric $\phi(z) = \phi_0(z) + O(|z|^3)$, where $\phi_0(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |z_i|^2$ and λ_i are the eigen values of the curvature two-form $\partial\overline{\partial}\phi$, with respect to the base metric ω, at the point x. According to the extremal property 1.2 of $B(x)$ we have to estimate the pointwise norm of section α at x in terms of the global L^2 norm. Let B_{R_k} be balls centered at x of radius $R_k \to 0$. By first restricting the global norm to the ball B_{R_k} and than making the change of variables $z = \frac{w}{\sqrt{k}}$ in the integral we get

$$\frac{|\alpha_k(x)|^2}{\|\alpha_k\|^2_X} \leq \frac{|\alpha_k(x)|^2}{\|\alpha_k\|^2_{R_k}} = \rho_k k^n |f_k(0)|^2 / \int_{B_{\sqrt{k}R_k}} |f_k(w)|^2 e^{-\phi_0(w)},$$

where the holomorphic functions f_k represent α_k in the local frame. The factor ρ_k comes from the base manifold metric and the terms of order $O(|z|^3)$ in the fiber metric on L. If we now choose e.g. $R_k = \frac{lnk}{\sqrt{k}}$ then the factor $\rho_k \to 1$ and the scaled radii $\sqrt{k}R_k \to \infty$ so that the integration in the variable w is over all of $\mathbb{C}^n$ in the limit. Furthermore, since $|f_k|^2$ is plurisubharmonic the quotient in the right hand side can be estimated by the inverse of the Gaussian

$$1/\int_{B_{\sqrt{k}R_k}} e^{-\phi_0(w)}$$

wich tends to $(1/\pi)^n\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_n$ if all eigenvalues are positive and is equal to zero in the limit otherwise. This proves the upper bound on the Bergman kernel function 2.1. In fact, what we have proved is the stronger statement that for any sequence (α_k), where α_k is in $H^0(X,L^k)$,

$$\limsup_k k^{-n}\left|\alpha_k(x)\right|^2/\left\|\alpha_k\right\|^2_{B_{R_k}} \leq \frac{1}{\pi^n}1_{X(0)}(x)\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right| \tag{2.3}$$

which will be important in the proof of theorem 2.4.

It is well-known that 2.1 is actually an asymptotic *equality* when L is positive on all of X. One can e.g. use Hörmander's celebrated L^2 estimates to obtain the equality [16],[15], [2] (a complete asymptotic expansion is obtained in [18], using micro local analysis based on [6]). But these methods break down if the curvature of L is only semi-positive. On the other hand Demailly proved, using his holomorphic Morse inequalitues, that 2.1 is an asymptotic equality under the more general condition that $X(1)$ is empty. Combining Demailly's result with the upper bound 2.1 we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that $X(1)$ is empty. Then*

$$k^{-n}B^k(x)\to\frac{1}{\pi^n}1_{X(0)}(x)\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|$$

in $L^1(X,\omega_n)$. In particular, the measure $B^k\omega_n/k^n$ converges to $\pi^{-n}1_{X(0)}(\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$ in the weak-topology.*

Proof. The upper bound 2.1 says that

$$\limsup_k \ k^{-n}B^k(x)\leq\tfrac{1}{\pi^n}1_{X(0)}(x)\left|det_\omega(\tfrac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right| \ ,$$

for any line bundle L. Moreover, if the curvature of the line bundle L is such that $X(1)$ is empty, then

$$\lim_k k^{-n}\int_X B^k(x)\omega_n=\frac{1}{\pi^n}\int 1_{X(0)}(x)\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|\omega_n.$$

To see this, note that the left hand side is the dimension of the space $H^0(X,L^k)$. In this form the statement was first shown by Demailly in [8]. See also proposition 3.2 in the present paper. Finally the theorem follows from the following simple lemma: □

Lemma 2.2. *Assume that (X,μ) is a finite measure space and that f and f_k are bounded functions, where the sequence f_k is uniformly bounded. If*

$$(i)\lim_k\int_X f_k d\mu=\int_X f d\mu,\quad and\quad (ii)\limsup f_k\leq f.$$

Then the sequence f_k converges to f in $L^1(X,\mu)$.

Proof. By the assumption (i)

$$\limsup_k\int_X\left|f_k-f\right|d\mu=2\limsup_k\int_X\chi_k(f_k-f)d\mu,$$

where χ_k is the characteristic funtion of the set where $f_k - f$ is non-negative. The right hand side can be estimated by Fatou's lemma, which is equivalent to the inequality

$$\limsup_k \int_X g_k d\mu \leq \int_X \limsup_k g_k d\mu,$$

if the sequence g_k is dominated by an L^1-function. Taking $g_k = \chi_k(f_k - f)$ and using the assumption (ii), finishes the proof of the lemma. $\square$

The weak convergence of the previous theorem can be reformulated in terms of Toeplitz operators:

Corollary 2.3. *Suppose that $X(1)$ is empty. Then for any bounded function f on X*

$$\lim_k \mathrm{k}^{-n}\mathrm{Tr}T_f = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{X(0)} f(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$$

Proof. From the definition 1.3 of a Toeplitz operator $\mathrm{Tr}T_f = \sum_i (f\Psi_i, \Psi_i)$, which is equal to $\int_X fB_k(x)\omega_n$. The corollary now follows from the L^1 convergence in the previous theorem. $\square$

We have seen how to obtain the leading asymptotics of $B(x)$, the norm of the Bergman kernel K on the diagonal.The main point of the present paper is that the argument presented actually also shows that $|K(x,y)|^2$ tends to zero off the diagonal to the leading order. The idea is that combining the upper bound 2.3 with the asymptotics for $B(x)$, one sees that any sequence of extremals α_k at a given point x, becomes localized around x in the large k limit. Since $K_x(y)$ is essentually equal to $\alpha_k(y)$, this will show that $K(x,y)$ localizes to the diagonal when k tends to infinity. A similar argument has been used by Bouche [4] to construct holomorphic peak sections when L is positive.

Theorem 2.4. *Suppose that $X(1)$ is empty. Denote by Δ the current of integration on the diagonal in $X \times X$. Then*

$$\lim\nolimits_k k^{-n} \left|K^k(x,y)\right|^2 \omega_n(x) \wedge \omega_n(y) = (2\pi)^{-n} 1_{X(0)} \Delta \wedge (i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n \ ,$$

*as measures on $X \times X$, in the weak *-topology.*

Proof. First note that the mass of the measures $\mu_k := \left|K^k(x,y)\right|^2 / k^n \omega_n(x) \wedge \omega_n(y)$ are uniformly bounded in k: first integrating over y and using the reproducing property 1.1 gives

$$\mu_k(X{\times}X) = k^{-n} \int_{X_x} \left|(K_x^k, K_x^k)\right| \omega_n(x) = k^{-n} \int_{X_x} B_k(x)\omega_n = k^{-n} \dim H^0(X, L^k),$$

which clearly is bounded by 2.2. Moreover, the mass of $X(0)^c \times X$ tends to zero:

$$\mu_k(X(0) \times X) = k^{-n} \int_{X(0)} \left|(K_x^k, K_x^k)\right| = k^{-n} \int_{X(0)} B_k(x)\omega_n = 0,$$

where we have used the bound 2.1.

Hence it is enough to prove the convergence on $X(0)\times X$. Moreover since the mass of the measures μ_k is bounded, it is enough to show that any subsequnce of μ_k has another subsequence that converges to $\pi^{-n}1_{X(0)}\Delta\wedge(\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$, in the weak*-topology. To simplify the notation the first subsequence will be indexed by k in the following.

According to theorem 2.1 and standard integration theory there is a subsequence of $k^{-n}B_k$ that converges to $\pi^{-n}\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|$ almost everywhere on $X(0)$. Fix a point x in $X(0)$ where $k^{-n}B_k(x)$ converges. Take a sequence of sections α_k, where α_k is a normalized extremal at the point x. Then according to proposition 1.1:

$$\left|K_x^k(y)\right|^2=\left|\alpha_k(y)\right|^2B^k(x) \tag{2.4}$$

We will now show that there is a subsequence of α_k such that

$$\lim_k\left\|\alpha_k\right\|^2_{B_{R_k}(x)}=1 \tag{2.5}$$

where $B_{R_k}(x)$ is a ball centered in x of radius k of radius $R_k:=\ln k/\sqrt{k}$ with respect to the "normal" coordinates around x used in section 2. Since the global norm of α_k is equal to one and the radii R_k tend to zero, it follows that the function $\left|\alpha_k(y)\right|^2$ on X converges to the Dirac measure at x in the weak*-topology. From this convergence we will be able to deduce the statement of the theorem. To prove the claim 2.5 first observe that there is a subsequence of α_k such that at the point x :

$$\pi^{-n}\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|=\lim_j k_j^{-n}\left|\alpha_{k_j}(x)\right|^2$$

Indeed, since α_k is normalized 1.2 says that the right hand side is equal to k^{-n} times $B_k(x)$, the Bergman function at the point x, which in turn tends to the left hand side according to theorem 2.1 and by the assumption on the point x.

Furthermore, since α_k is normalized the restricted norm $\left\|\alpha_k\right\|^2_{B_{R_k}(x)}$ is less than one. Hence the right hand side is trivially estimated by

$$\limsup_j k_j^{-n}\left|\alpha_{k_j}(x)\right|^2/\left\|\alpha_{k_j}\right\|^2_{B_{R_{k_j}}(x)}.$$

According to 2.3 this in turn may be estimated by $\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|$. All in all this shows that

$$\pi^{-n}\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|=\pi^{-n}\lim_j\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|/\left\|\alpha_{k_j}\right\|^2_{B_{R_{k_j}}(x)}$$

Since the left hand side is non-zero on $X(0)$ this proves the claim 2.5. Now to prove the theorem we take a test function $f(x,y)$ and consider the integral

$$k_j^{-n}\int_{X(0)\times X}f(x,y)\left|K^{k_j}(x,y)\right|^2\omega_n(x)\wedge\omega_n(y).$$

We may restrict the integration over the first factor in $X(0)\times X$ to the set of all x satisfying the assumption used above, since the the complement is of mesure zero. Using the identity 2.4 the integral over y (for a fixed point x) equals

$$k_j^{-n} B^{k_j}(x) \int_X f(x,y) \left|\alpha_{k_j}(y)\right|^2 \omega_n(y).$$

Since by 2.5 the function $\left|\alpha_k(y)\right|^2$ converges to the Dirac measure at x in the weak*-topology, the integral is equal to $f(x,x)$ in the limit and the first factor is equal to $\pi^{-n}\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|$ in the limit (by theorem 2.1). Hence the previous integral is equal to

$$(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{X(0)} f(x,x)(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$$

in the limit, which finishes the proof of the theorem. □

As before the convergence may be formulated in terms of Toeplitz operators (a more general statement will be proved in part II (corollary 5.5)).

Corollary 2.5. *Suppose that* $X(1)$ *is empty. Then*

$$\lim_k k^{-n} Tr T_{fg} = \lim_k k^{-n} Tr T_f T_g \ .$$

We will now use the results on the asymptotics of the Bergman kernel K to express asymptotic spectral properties of Toeplitz operators in terms of their symbol. Denote by

$$N(T_f > \gamma)$$

the number of eigenvalues of T_f that are greater than the number γ (counted with multiplicity). Furthermore, $N(T_f < \gamma)$ is defined similarly.

Theorem 2.6. *Suppose that* $X(1)$ *is empty and that* f *is a real-valued bounded function. Then for all* γ *except possibly countably many the following holds:*

$$\lim_k k^{-n} N(T_f > \gamma) = (2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\{f>\gamma\}\bigcap X(0)} (i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n \tag{2.6}$$

and similarly for $N(T_f < \gamma)$.

Proof. Given the asymptotic behaviour of $K(x,y)$ in theorem 2.4, the proof can be adapted word by word from [15],[2]. But for completeness we give a proof here, that slightly simplifies the proof in [15]. We first prove the statement when f is the characterstic function for a given set $\Omega : f = 1_\Omega$. Let us denote by T_Ω the corresponding operator. We may assume that $1 > \gamma > 0$. By corollary 2.3 the right hand side of 2.6 is then equal to the limit of $k^{-n}\mathrm{Tr}\ \mathrm{T}_\Omega$. Moreover, by corollary 2.5 this limit in turn is equal to the limit of $k^{-n}\mathrm{Tr}\mathrm{T}_\Omega^2$. We will now see that this can happen only if $\lim_k k^{-n} N(T_\Omega > \gamma) = \lim_k k^{-n}\mathrm{Tr}\ \mathrm{T}_\Omega$, which proves the statement with this special choice of f. Indeed, since if we denote by τ_j the eigen values of T_Ω,

$$\lim_k k^{-n} \sum \tau_j(1-\tau_j) = 0,$$

it follows from estimating $(1-\tau_j)$ from below that

$$\lim_k k^{-n} \sum_{\tau_j \leq \gamma} \tau_j = 0.$$

Hence

$$\lim_k k^{-n} \sum_{\tau_j > \gamma} \tau_j = \lim_k k^{-n} \text{Tr } \mathrm{T}_\Omega = \int_{\Omega \bigcap X(0)} (\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$$

Now it is not hard to deduce that $\lim_k k^{-n} N(T_\Omega > \gamma) = \int_{\Omega \bigcap X(0)} (\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$.

By comparing an arbitrary function f with a characteristic function we will now finish the proof of the theorem. Let us first prove the lower bound

$$\liminf_k k^{-n} N(T_f > \gamma) \geq (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\{f>\gamma\} \bigcap X(0)} (i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n. \tag{2.7}$$

First note that we may assume that f is non-negative by adding an appropriate constant to f. By the max-min principle applied to the operator T_f and by 1.3

$$N(T_f > \gamma) = \max\left\{\dim V : \, (f\alpha, \alpha) > \gamma(\alpha, \alpha) \, \forall \alpha \in V\right\},$$

where V is a linear subspace of H^0. Hence we have to find a sequence of subspaces V_k with

$$\dim V_k = k^n (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\{f>\gamma\} \bigcap X(0)} (i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n + o(k^n), \tag{2.8}$$

such that for any normalized α in V_k $(f\alpha, \alpha) > \gamma$. To this end denote by Ω the set where $f > \gamma$ and denote by χ the corresponding characteristic function. Since we have already proved the theorem for characteristic functions, there is, for any given small positive ε, a sequence of subspaces V_k with the correct dimension 2.8 such that

$$(\chi\alpha, \alpha) > 1 - \varepsilon$$

for all α in V_k, Since by definition $f > \gamma\chi$ it follows that

$$(f\alpha, \alpha) > \gamma(1-\varepsilon),$$

for all α in V_k. By symmetry this means that

$$\liminf_k k^{-n} N(T_f > \gamma) \geq (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\{f>\gamma+\varepsilon\} \bigcap X(0)} (i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n.$$

By letting ϵ tend to zero in the right hand side we obtain the desired lower bound 2.7. If we now apply this result to the function $-f$ we obtain the following equivalent result:

$$\liminf_k k^{-n} N(T_f < \delta) \geq (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\{f<\delta\} \bigcap X(0)} (i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n. \tag{2.9}$$

Now note that for all except countably many numbers γ, the set $\{f=\gamma\}$ is of mesure zero with respect to the mesure $(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$ on X. Indeed, the function $g(\gamma)=\int_{\{f\leq\gamma\}\bigcap X(0)}(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$ on the real line is increasing and it is well-known that an increasing function is continous accept on a countable set. This forces the mesure of the set $\{f=\gamma\}$ to be zero for all γ accept those in the countable set. Finally, since the total number of eigen values for any operator T_f is equal to the dimension of $H^0(X,L^k)$, we get, when k tends to infinity, that the sum

$$\lim_k k^{-n}(N(T_f>\gamma)+\lim_k k^{-n}N(T_f\leq\gamma)$$

is equal to the asymptotic dimension

$$(2\pi)^{-n}(\int_{\{f>\gamma\}\bigcap X(0)}(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n+(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\{f<\gamma\}\bigcap X(0)}(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n)$$

for all γ such that the mesure of the set $\{f=\gamma\}$ is zero. Combing this with the lower bounds 2.7 and 2.9, we see that we must have equality in 2.7, which proves the theorem. $\square$

The main application of the previous theorem is to show that there is a large supply of holomorphic sections concentrated on any given set Ω in $X(0)$, in the following sence:

$$\|\alpha\|_{\Omega}^2\geq(1-\varepsilon)\|\alpha\|_X^2$$

for any given positive (small) ϵ. To see this, denote by χ the characteristic function of the set Ω, and note that if α is a linear combination of eigen sections of the Toeplitz operator T_χ then α will be concentrated on Ω, as long as the eigenvalues are bounded from below by $(1-\varepsilon)$. The number of such sections α is precisely the spectral counting function $N(T_\chi\geq 1-\varepsilon)$. Hence, the previous theorem shows that there is a subspace of dimension

$$k^n(2\pi)^{-n}\int_{\Omega}(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n+o(k^n),$$

consisting of concentrated sections, a result that will be useful when studying sampling sequences in the next section.

The following equivalent formulation of theorem 2.6 can be obtained by standard methods in spectral theory. It generalizes a theorem of Boutet de Monvel and Guillemin [5], [13], valid when L is positive, to the case when $X(1)$ is empty.

Theorem 2.7. *Suppose that $X(1)$ is empty. Let (τ_i) be the eigen values of T_f and denote by $d\xi_k$ the spectral measure of T_f divided by k^n, i.e.*

$$d\xi_k:=k^{-n}\sum_i\delta_{\tau_i},$$

where δ_{τ_i} is the Dirac measure centered at τ_i. Then $d\xi_k$ tends, in the weak-topology, to the push forward of the measure $1_{X(0)}(2\pi)^{-n}(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$*

under the map f, i.e.

$$\lim_i \sum_i a(\tau_i) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{X(0)} a(f(x)(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$$

for any mesurable function a on the real line.

3. Sampling

Let D_k be a finite set of points in X. We say that the sequence of sets D_k is *sampling* for the sequence of Hilbert spaces $H^0(X, L^k)$ if there exists a uniform constant A such that

$$A^{-1}k^{-n}\sum_{D_k} |\alpha(x)|^2 \leq \|\alpha\|^2 \leq Ak^{-n}\sum_{D_k} |\alpha(x)|^2 ,$$

for any element α in $H^0(X, L^k)$. The points in D_k will assumed to be *separated* in the following sense: the distance between any two points in D_k is bounded from below by a uniform constant times k^{-n}. Consider the measures $d\nu_k$ on X corresponding to the sets D_k :

$$d\nu_k := k^{-n}\sum_{D_k} \delta_x.$$

Because of the separability assumption their mass is uniformly bounded in k. Hence any subsequence has a subsequence that is weak *-convergent. Denote by $d\nu$ such a limit measure. It is natural to ask how dense the sampling points should be, for large k, in order to be sampling. i.e. we ask for asymptotic density conditions on the measure $d\nu$. The model case is sampling on lattices for the Fock space, i.e. X is taken to be $\mathbb{C}^n$ with its standard Euclidean metric form $\omega$and L is the line bundle with constant positive curvature $-2i\omega$. If the sequence D_k is a sequence of lattices generated over $\mathbb{Z}$ by $k^{-1/2}(a_1, ..., a_{2n})$, where the a_i are positive numbers, then a necessary condition for this sequence to be sampling is that $a_1 \cdots a_{2n} \leq \pi^n$. In ([15], [2]) this necessary condition was generalized to any positive line bundle. Namely, the limit measure has to satisfy

$$d\nu \geq (2\pi)^{-n}(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n.$$

The next theorem shows that in order to sample $H^0(X, L^k)$ when $X(1)$ is empty, the sampling points have to satisfy the same necessary density conditions in $X(0)$ (the part of X where L is positive) as in the case when the curvature is positive everywhere on X.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the sequence of sets D_k is sampling for the sequence of Hilbert spaces $H^0(X, L^k)$. If $X(1)$ is empty then the following necessary condition holds:*

$$d\nu \geq (2\pi)^{-n}(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$$

on $X(0)$. In other words

$$\liminf_k \#(D_k \bigcap \Omega)/k^n \geq (2\pi)^{-n} \int_\Omega (i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n \tag{3.1}$$

for any smooth domain Ω *contained in* $X(0)$.

Proof. Given theorem 2.6 (applied to the characteristic function for a set Ω) the proof can be given word by word as in [15], [2]. For completeness we give the argument. Suppose that the sequence D_k is sampling and consider a set Ω in $X(0)$. As was explained as a comment to theorem 2.6, the theorem shows that there is subspace of dimension

$$k^n(2\pi)^{-n}\int_\Omega (i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n + o(k^n)$$

consisting of functions satisfying the concentration property

$$\|\alpha\|^2_\Omega \geq (1-\varepsilon)\,\|\alpha\|^2_X \tag{3.2}$$

(we fix some small ϵ).Now the claim is that for any such concentrated α the sampling property of the sequence D_k yields

$$\|\alpha\|^2_X \leq Ak^{-n}\sum_{D_k\bigcap\Omega_k}|\alpha(x)|^2\,, \tag{3.3}$$

where Ω_k consist of all points with distance smaller than $1/\sqrt{k}$ to Ω. Accepting this for a moment it is easy to see how the theorem follows. First note that it is enough to prove the theorem with Ω replaced with the larger set Ω_k, since the number of points in $D_k\bigcap(\Omega_k-\Omega)$ is of the order $o(k^n)$. To get a contradiction we now assume that the number of points in $D_k\bigcap\Omega_k$ is strictly less than $k^n(2\pi)^{-n}\int_\Omega(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n+o(k^n)$ (meaning that condition 3.1 in the theoren does not hold). But then we can find a non-trivial element α concentrated on Ω and vanishing in all the points in $D_k\bigcap\Omega_k$. Indeed, α can be choosen in a space of dimension of order $k^n(2\pi)^{-n}\int_\Omega(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$ and by assumption there are sufficiently few linear conditions to find such an α vanishing in all the points in $D_k\bigcap\Omega_k$. But then 3.3 forces α to vanish on all of X, which is a contradiction.

Finally, we just have to show how 3.3 follows. For any point x a simple submean inequality gives as in the begining of section 2:

$$k^n\,|\alpha(x)|^2 \leq C\,\|\alpha\|^2_{B_{1/\sqrt{k}}(x)}\,.$$

By the separation property of D_k we may thus estimate the sum over $D_k\bigcap\Omega_k^c$ to obtain

$$k^{-n}\sum_{D_k\bigcap\Omega_k^c}|\alpha(x)|^2 \leq C\,\|\alpha\|^2_{\Omega^c}\leq\varepsilon,$$

where we have used the concentration property 3.2 in the last step. Hence, we have proved 3.3, which finishes the proof of the theorem. □

Part 2. Harmonic forms

The aim of the second part of the paper is to generalize the results in part one to $\overline{\partial}-$*harmonic* $(0,q)-$ forms with values in L^k. We denote the corresponding spaces by $\mathcal{H}^q(X,L^k)$, which by Hodge's theorem are isomorphic to the Dolbeault cohomology groups $H^q(X,L^k)$. The first part was based on the observation 2.1 that there is always an asymptotic *upper* bound on the Bergman kernel function $B_k(x)$ of the space of holomorphic sections with values in L^k. Furthermore, if the line bundle L is such that $X(1)$ is empty, then it was shown, using Demailly's strong Morse inequalities, that the estimate is actually an asymptotic *equality* (at least in the sense of L^1-convergence).

Let us recall the approach to Demailly's inequalities presented in [1]. First one shows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}^q_{\leq \nu_k}(X, L^k) = k^n \frac{(-1)^q}{\pi^n} \int_{X(q)} (\frac{i}{2} \partial \overline{\partial} \phi)_n + o(k^n), \tag{3.4}$$

for the space $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq \nu_k}(X, L^k)$ spanned by all eigenforms of $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$ with eigenvalues bounded by $\nu_{k,}$ where $\nu_k = \mu_k k$ and μ_k is a certain sequence tending to zero. We will refer to the elements of the previous space as *low-energy forms.* The dimension formula 3.4 is deduced from the following pointwise asymptotics for the corresponding Bergman kernel functions:

$$B^q_{\leq \nu_k}(x) = \frac{k^n}{\pi^n} 1_{X(q)} \left| det_\omega (\frac{i}{2} \partial \overline{\partial} \phi)_x \right| + o(k^n) \tag{3.5}$$

The method of proof is a generalization of the argument used to prove the upper bound on $B_k(x)$ in section 2 and will not be repeated here. From 3.5 one immediately gets an upper bound on the Bergman kernel functions for the space of all harmonic forms:

$$B^q_k(x) \leq \frac{k^n}{\pi^n} 1_{X(q)} \left| det_\omega (\frac{i}{2} \partial \overline{\partial} \phi)_x \right| + o(k^n) \tag{3.6}$$

(in [1] these bounds were called *local holomorphic Morse inequalities*). However, the equality 3.5 captures much more of the asymptotic information of the Dolbeault complex as can be seen in the following way. First observe that the complex

$$\left(\mathcal{H}^*_{\mu_k}(X, L^k), \overline{\partial}\right), \tag{3.7}$$

consisting of all eigenforms of $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$ with eigenvalue μ_k, forms a finite dimensional subcomplex of the Dolbeault complex $\left(\Omega^*(X, L^k), \overline{\partial}\right)$. Indeed, $\overline{\partial}$ commutes with $\Delta_{\overline{\partial}}$. Moreover, the complex is exact in positive degrees for non-zero μ_k. The Witten $\overline{\partial}-$complex is now defined as the direct sum of all the complexes 3.7 with eigenvalue μ_k less than ν_k. By the Hodge theorem the inclusion of the Witten $\overline{\partial}-$complex

$$\left(\mathcal{H}^*_{\leq \nu_k}(X, L^k), \overline{\partial}\right) \hookrightarrow \left(\Omega^*(X, L^k), \overline{\partial}\right),$$

is a quasi-isomorphism, i.e. the cohomologies of the complexes are isomorphic and 3.4 gives the dimension of the components of the Witten $\overline{\partial}-$complex. A homological argument now yields Demailly's *strong* Morse inequalities for the truncated Euler characteristics of the Dolbeault complex [8].

The main point of this is approach to Demailly's inequalities is to first prove the Bergman kernel asymptotics 3.5 - the rest of the proof is more or less as Demailly's original proof, which in turn was inspired by Witten's analytical approach to the classical real Morse inequalities [17].

Let us now turn to the study of harmonic $(0,q)-$ forms for a fixed q, i.e. the space $\mathcal{H}^q(X,L^k)$. As above this space can be identified with the cohomology groups at degree q of the Witten $\overline{\partial}-$complex:

(3.8)

$$... \rightarrow \mathcal{H}^{q-1}_{\leq \nu_k}(X,L^k) \overset{\overline{\partial}}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{H}^{q}_{\leq \nu_k}(X,L^k) \overset{\overline{\partial}}{\rightarrow} \mathcal{H}^{q+1}_{\leq \nu_k}(X,L^k) \rightarrow ...$$

The natural condition on the line bundle L that generalizes the condition that $X(1)$ is empty, which was used in the study of holomorphic sections in part one, is that $X(q-1)$ and $X(q+1)$ both are empty.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose that $X(q-1)$ and $X(q+1)$ are empty. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}^q(X,L^k) = k^n \frac{(-1)^q}{\pi^n}\frac{1}{n!}\int_{X(q)} (\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)^n + o(k^n).$$

Proof. Consider the orthogonal decomposition

$$\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq \nu_k}(X,L^k) = \mathcal{H}^q(X,L^k) \oplus \mathcal{H}^q_{+}(X,L^k),$$

where $\mathcal{H}^q_{+}(X,L^k)$ denotes the eigenspaces corresponding to positive eigenvalues. According to the dimension formula 3.4 we just have to show that the dimension of $\mathcal{H}^q_{+}(X,L^k)$ is of order $o(k^n)$. Since the operator $\overline{\partial}+\overline{\partial}^*$ maps $\mathcal{H}^q_{+}(X,L^k)$ injectively into $\mathcal{H}^{q+1}_{\leq \nu_k}(X,L^k) \oplus \mathcal{H}^{q-1}_{\leq \nu_k}(X,L^k)$ the proposition now follows by applying the dimension formula 3.4 again. □

Combining the previous proposition with the upper bound on the Bergman kernel function $B^q_k(x)$ of the space of harmonic $(0,q)-$forms shows that

$$k^{-n}B^q_k(x) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\pi^n}1_{X(q)}(x)\left|det_{\omega}(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right| \tag{3.9}$$

in $L^1(X,\omega_n)$, exactly as in the proof of theorem 2.4. When q is zero $B^q_k(x)$ is the pointwise norm of the restriction of the Bergman kernel $K(x,y)$ to the diagonal. For q positive, $K(x,x)$ is (locally) a matrix and $B^q_k(x)$ is its trace. But this means that there is now a larger gap between 3.9 and the behaviour of $K(x,y)$ - one would rather like to obtain generalizations of theorem 2.4 to the matrix elements of $K(x,y)$. To achive this in an invariant way it will be very convenient to think of $K(x,y)$ as a bundle valued form on $X\times X$. It will turn out that $K(x,y)$ is not only localized on the subset corresponding to $X(q)$ of the diagonal in $X\times X$, but all of the contribution to $K(x,x)$ comes from a special direction. This is already clear from Demailly´s work. In [1] this fact was shown by reducing the problem to a model case in $\mathbb{C}^n$ where it can be checked explicitely. In order to treat $K(x,y)$ as a form and to define the special direction the following formalism will be useful.

3.1. **The super integral and the dagger † (reversed complex conjugation).** Consider first a real $m-$dimensional manifold X. Let f be a differential form on X, i.e. f is an element of $\Omega^*(X,\mathbb{C})$. Then the super integral of f is defined to be the usual integral of the top degree form of f, i.e

$$\int_X f_1 + f_2 + ... + f_m := \int_X f_m,$$

where we have decomposed the form f with respect to the degree grading of $\Omega^*(X,\mathbb{C})$. It is often convenient to think of the super integral of a form as a double integral in the following way. Suppose that we are given a volume element, that we write as ω_m, on X. Fix a point x in X. Then $f(x)$ is element of the exterior algebra over x and we define an "integral" of $f(x)$ by

$$\int_{X^{0|m}} f(x) := f_m(x)/\omega_m(x). \tag{3.10}$$

Next, for a function f_0 on X, we let

$$\int_{X^{m|0}} f(x) := \int_X f(x)\omega_n,$$

i.e. the usual integral over X of f_0 with respect to the volume form ω_n. Then the super integral of a form can be written as

$$\int_X f = \int_{X^{m|0}} \int_{X^{0|m}} f(x).$$

A word on the notation: In the mathematics litterature the integral 3.10 is called the Berezin integral. In the physics litterature one often thinks of a differential form as a "super" function of m commuting ("bosonic") variables x_i and m anti-commuting ("fermionic") variables dx_i. Taylor-expanding the function $f(x_1,...,x_m,dx_1,...,dx_m)$ in the anti-commuting variables yields the usual expression of a differential form. This has been formalized in the theory of super manifolds, where the super integral corresponds to the integral of a function over the super manifold $X^{m|m}$, that can be obtained from the manifold TX^* by changing the parity along the fibers [10],[7].

Now assume that X is an n-dimensional complex manifold with a hermitian metric ω. Consider a $(0,q)-$form f on X. It is well-known that the squared norm of f may be written as

$$\|f\|_X^2 = c_{n,q}\int_X f\wedge \overline{f}\wedge \omega^{n-q}/(n-q)!,$$

in terms of the usual integral, where $c_{n,q}$ is complex constant needed to make the right hand side real and positive. Using the super integral we may write the squared norm of *any* form f in $\Omega^{0,*}(X,\mathbb{C})$ as

$$\|f\|_X^2 = (\frac{i}{2})^n \int_X f\wedge f^\dagger \wedge e^{\omega'},$$

where $\omega' = -2i\omega$ and where the dagger $\dagger$ is the linear operator on $\Omega^*(X,\mathbb{C})$ that coincides with the usual complex conjugation on $\Omega^1(X,\mathbb{C})$ and satisfying

$$(\alpha \wedge \beta)^\dagger = \beta^\dagger \wedge \alpha^\dagger,$$

for any elements α and β in $\Omega^*(X,\mathbb{C})$. In particular $\omega'^\dagger = \omega'$ and if if $I = (i_1, ..., i_q)$, then

$$dz^I \wedge dz^{I\dagger} = (dz^{i_1} \wedge \overline{dz}^{i_1}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (dz^{i_q} \wedge \overline{dz}^{i_q})$$

If there is also given a hermitian line bundle L over X, then the squared norm of an element α of $\Omega^{0,*}(X,\mathbb{C})$ may be written as

$$\|\alpha\|_X^2 = (\frac{i}{2})^n \int_X \alpha \wedge \alpha^\dagger \wedge e^{-\phi+\omega'}.$$

Note that we are abusing notation here: the function $e^{-\phi}$ representing the fiber metric on L is only defined locally and $\alpha \wedge \alpha^\dagger$ is a $(q,q)-$ form with values in $L \otimes \overline{L}$ and may not be canonically integrated. However, the combination $\alpha \wedge \alpha^\dagger \wedge e^{-\phi}$ yields a well-defined global $(q,q)-$form on X. When X is $\mathbb{C}^n$ with its standard Euclidean metric form $\omega$and L is the line bundle with constant positive curvature ω', the exponent in the norm above, is equal to

$$\sum_i (-z_i\overline{z_i} + dz_i \wedge \overline{dz_i})$$

and from the point of view of super manifolds the corresponding Hilbert space is the space of super functions on $\mathbb{C}^{n|n}$ that are holomorphic in the even variables and anti-holomorphic in the odd variables.

3.2. **The direction form $\chi^{q,q}$.** Fix a point x in $X(q)$. Using the metric ω we can identify the curvature two-form $\partial\overline{\partial}\phi_x$ at x with a hermitian endomorphism of the fiber over x of the holomorphic tangentbundle $TX^{1,0}$ in the usual way [12]. By the definition of $X(q)$, $\partial\overline{\partial}\phi$ has precisely q negative eigenvalues at x and we denote the complex subspace spanned by the corresponding eigenvectors by $V(q)_x$. [2] This defines a subbundle $V(q)$ of $TX^{1,0}$over $X(q)$. Denote the corresponding inclusion map by i and let π be the orthogonal projection of $TX^{1,0}$ onto $V(q)$. On $X(q)$ we define the *direction form* $\chi^{q,q}$ by

$$\chi^{q,q} := \pi^* i^* \omega'_q$$

and extend it by zero to all of X. Locally, $\chi^{q,q}$ can be expressed in the following way on $X(q)$. Let (e_i) be a local orthormal frame of $TX^{1,0}$ such that $e_1, ..., e_q$ is a local frame for $V(q)$ and denote by e^i the dual $(1,0)-$forms. Then

$$\chi^{q,q} := e^{I_0} \wedge e^{I_0\dagger} \tag{3.11}$$

---

[2] In the usual real Morse theory the space $V(q)_x$ corresponds to the linearization of the unstable manifold at a critical point.

In the sequel, when working with local frames over $X(q)$, we will assume that $e_1, ..., e_q$ are as above. Given a a form f in $\Omega^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ let f_χ be the function defined by

$$f_\chi(x) := (\frac{i}{2})^n \int_{X^{0|n}} \chi^{q,q}(x) \wedge f(x) \wedge e^{\omega'(x)},$$

which is just a compact way of saying that on $X(q)$ f_χ is a sum of $f^{0,0}$and all coefficients f_{JJ} such that $J \bigcap I_0 = \emptyset$,where f_{IJ} denotes components of the form f with repect to the local base elements $e^I \wedge e^{J\dagger}$.

One final remark: in the notation of the previous section one can think of $\chi^{q,q}$ as a cut-off function on the super manifold $X^{n|n}$.

4. Bergman kernels and Toeplitz operators

Let (ψ_i) be an orthormal base for a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{0,q}$ of $(0,q)-$ forms with values in L. Denote by π_1 and π_2 the projections on the factors of $X \times X$. The *Bergman kernel form* of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^{0,q}$ is defined by

$$\mathbb{K}(x, y) = \sum_i \psi_i(x) \wedge \psi_i(x)^\dagger$$

Hence, $\mathbb{K}(x, y)$ is a form on $X \times X$ with values in the pulled back line bundle $\pi_1^*(L) \otimes \overline{\pi_2^*(L)}$. For a fixed point y we identify $\mathbb{K}_y(x) := \mathbb{K}(x, y)$ with a $(0,q)-$form with values in $L \otimes \Omega^{0,q}(X, \overline{L})_y$. The definition of $\mathbb{K}$ is made so that $\mathbb{K}$ satifisfies the following reproducing property:

$$\alpha(y) = (\frac{i}{2})^n \int_X \alpha \wedge \mathbb{K}_y^\dagger \wedge e^{-\phi+\omega'} \tag{4.1}$$

for any element α in $\mathcal{H}^{0,q}$. The restriction of $\mathbb{K}$ to the diagonal can be identified with a $(q,q)-$form on X with values in $L \otimes \overline{L}$. The *Bergman form* is defined as $\mathbb{K}(x, x)e^{-\phi(x)}$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{B}(x) = \sum_i \psi_i(x) \wedge \psi_i(x)^\dagger e^{-\phi(x)} \tag{4.2}$$

and it is a globally well-defined $(q,q)-$form on X. Note that the Bergman function B is the trace of $\mathbb{B}^q$, i.e.

$$B\omega_n = c_{n,q}\mathbb{B} \wedge \omega_{n-q}.$$

For a given form α in $\Omega^{0,q}(X, L)$ and a decomposable form θ in $\Omega^{0,q}(X)_x$ of unit norm, let $\alpha_\theta(x)$ denote the element of $\Omega^{0,0}(X, L)_x$ defined as

$$\alpha_\theta(x) = \langle \alpha, \theta \rangle_x$$

where the scalar product takes values in L_x. We call $\alpha_\theta(x)$ the *value of* α *at the point* x, *in the direction* θ. Similarly, let $B_\theta(x)$ denote the function obtained by replacing 4.2 by the sum of the squared pointwise norms of $\psi_{i,\theta}(x)$. Then $B_\theta(x)$ has the following useful extremal property:

$$B_\theta(x) = \sup_\alpha |\alpha_\theta(x)|^2, \tag{4.3}$$

where the supremum is taken over all elements α in $\mathcal{H}^q$ of unit norm. An element α realizing the supremum will be refered to as an *extremal form for the space* $\mathcal{H}^{0,q}$ *at the point* x, *in the direction* θ. The reproducing formula 4.1 may now be written as

$$\alpha_\theta(y) = (\alpha, \mathbb{K}_{y,\theta}) \tag{4.4}$$

and we have the following extremal characterization of the Bergman kernel (which also gives 4.3).

Lemma 4.1. *Let* α *be an an extremal at the point* x *in the normalized direction* θ. *Then*

$$|\mathbb{K}_{x,\theta}(y)|^2 = |\alpha(y)|^2 \, B_\theta(x)$$

Proof. Fix the point x and the form θ in $\Lambda^{n-q,0}(X)_x$ and take frames around x such that $\theta = \overline{e^I}$.Then the pair (x,θ) determines a functional on $\mathcal{H}^q$:

$$\alpha \;\; \mapsto \;\; \alpha_I(x) \;\; .$$

By the reproducing property 4.4

$$\alpha_I(x) = (\frac{i}{2})^n \int_X \alpha \wedge \mathbb{K}_{x,I} \wedge e^{-\phi+\omega'} = (\alpha, \mathbb{K}_{x,I})$$

for any element α in $\mathcal{H}^{0,q}$, where $\mathbb{K}_{x,I} := \sum_i \psi_i^I(x)\overline{\psi_i}$ is an element of $\mathcal{H}^q$. In terms of a frame at y we can write

$$\mathbb{K}_{x,I}(y) := \sum_J K_{IJ}(x,y)\overline{e^J}.$$

By the reproducing property 4.4 $\mathbb{K}_{x,I}/\left\|\mathbb{K}_{x,I}\right\|$ is an extremal at the point x in the direction $\overline{e^I}$. This means that if α is another extremal at the point x in the direction $\overline{e^I}$ then

$$|\alpha(y)|^2 = |\mathbb{K}_{x,I}(y)/\left\|\mathbb{K}_{x,I}\right\||^2 \, ,$$

The previous equality may be written as

$$B_I(x,x)\,|\alpha(y)|^2 = |\mathbb{K}_{x,I}(y)|^2 \, e^{-\phi(x)}, \tag{4.5}$$

since the reproducing property 4.4 shows that $\left\|\mathbb{K}_{x,I}\right\|^2 = K_{II}(x,x)$ and by definition $K_{II}(x,x)e^{-\phi(x)} := B_I(x)$. This proves the lemma. □

Next, denote by $\Omega^{(0)}(X,\mathbb{C})$ the commutative subalgebra $\bigoplus_p \Omega^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{C})$ of $\Omega^*(X,\mathbb{C})$. For an element f in $\Omega^{(0)}(X,\mathbb{C})$, we define T_f, the so called *super Toeplitz operator with form symbol* f, by

$$(T_f\alpha)(y) = (\frac{i}{2})^n \int_X f \wedge \alpha \wedge \mathbb{K}_y^\dagger \wedge e^{-\phi+\omega'}. \tag{4.6}$$

Equivalently,

$$(T_f\alpha, \beta) = (\frac{i}{2})^n \int_X f \wedge \alpha \wedge \beta^\dagger \wedge e^{-\phi+\omega'} \tag{4.7}$$

for all elements α and β of $\mathcal{H}^q$. Note that the operator T_f is hermitian if f is a real form with respect to the real structure on $\bigoplus_p \Omega^{p,p}(X,\mathbb{C})$

defined by †,i.e. if $f^\dagger = f$. This means that if f is real-valued in the usual sense i.e. f is an element of $\Omega^*(X, \mathbb{R})$, then $i^m T_f$ is hermitian for some integer m. In the following we will only consider symbols f that are real with respect to †.

When studying asymptotic properties of L^k, all objects introduced above will be defined with respect to the line bundle L^k.

Remark 4.2. The term super Toeplitz operator was used in [3] in a closely related context. However, the most natural global setting corresponding to [3] is obtained by taking the sequence of Hilbert spaces to be the spaces $\mathcal{H}^{*,0}(X, L^k)$, i.e the direct sum of all harmonic $(q, 0)-$forms with values in L^k, where $q = 0, 1, ..n$ and where L is a *positive* line bundle. Then $\mathcal{H}^{*,0}(X, L^k)$ is actually the space of all holomorphic forms with values in L^k. In particular, the space $\mathcal{H}^{q,0}(X, L^k)$ may be written as $H^0(X, L^k \otimes E_q)$, where E_q is a holomorphic vector bundle, so that the analysis for the corresponding Bergman kernels is reduced to the situation studied in part 1 (twisting with a fixed vector bundle has only minor effects on the analysis).

5. ASYMPTOTIC RESULTS FOR BERGMAN KERNELS AND TOEPLITZ OPERATORS.

The next theorem generalizes the bound 2.3 in part 1 to low-energy forms on X, i.e. elements of $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq \nu_k}(X, L^k)$. It is a refined formulation of the local weak holomorphic Morse inequalities obtained in [1].

Theorem 5.1. *Fix a point x in X and a direction form θ in $\Lambda^{0,q}(X)_x$. Then the following inequality holds:*

$$\limsup_k \left(k^{-n} \sup \frac{|\alpha_\theta(x)|^2}{\|\alpha\|^2_{B_{R_k}}} \right) \leq \frac{1}{\pi^n} \left\langle \chi^{q,q}, \theta \wedge \theta^\dagger \right\rangle_x \left| det_\omega (\frac{i}{2} \partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x \right|$$

where the supremum is taken over all elements α of $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq \nu_k}(X, L^k)$ and $R_k = \frac{lnk}{\sqrt{k}}$.

Proof. In the statement of the theorem concerning local holomorphic Morse inequalities in [1] the global norm $\|\alpha_k\|^2_X$ was considered. However, the proof given there actually yields the stronger statement involving $\|\alpha_k\|^2_{B_{R_k}}$. An outline of the argument is as follows. It is enough to prove the statement for $\theta = \overline{e^I}$. Consider the restriction of the normalized form α_k to the ball B_{R_k} centered at the point x. Let $\beta^{(k)}(z) := k^{-\frac{1}{2}n} \alpha(k^{-\frac{1}{2}} z)$ and extend it by zero to a form on all of $\mathbb{C}^n$. In [1] it was shown that one may assume that the sequence $\beta^{(k)}(z)$ tends to a form β weakly in $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Moreover, the sequence convergences uniformly with all derivatives on the the unit ball. This entails that β is harmonic with respect to the fiber metric ϕ_0 (section 2) on the trivial line bundle in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Thus,

$$(5.1) \qquad \limsup_k \left| \beta_I^{(k)}(0) \right|^2 / \left\| \beta^{(k)} \right\|^2_{B_{lnk}(0)} \leq |\beta_I(0)|^2 / \|\beta\|^2_{\mathbb{C}^n}$$

where we have used that $\|\beta\|^2_{\mathbb{C}^n} \leq \limsup \left\|\beta^{(k)}\right\|^2_{B_{lnk}(0)}$ thanks to the weak L^2- convergence in $\mathbb{C}^n$. In [1] the right hand side was shown to be bounded by $\pi^{-n}\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|$ if $I = I_0$ and equal to zero otherwise. Since the limit in the left hand side in 5.1 equals the limit of $k^{-n}\left|\alpha_k^I(x)\right|^2 / \|\alpha_k\|^2_{B_{R_k}(x)}$, this proves the theorem for $\theta = \overline{e^I}$. □

In [1] the asymptotics of $B_k(x)$, the trace of the Bergman kernel form $\mathbb{B}_k$ associated with the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq\nu_k}(X, L^k)$, was deduced from the previous theorem. In fact, the proof given there actually yields the asympototics of the Bergman kernel form itself. As in part 1 the convergence holds for the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}^q(X, L^k)$ as well, under special conditions on the curvature of L.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $\mathbb{B}_k$ be the Bergman $(q,q)-$ form of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq\nu_k}(X, L^k)$. Then*

$$k^{-n}\mathbb{B}_k(x) \to \frac{1}{\pi^n}\chi^{q,q}\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|$$

pointwise. If $X(q-1)$ and $X(q+1)$ are empty than the convergence holds in $L^1(X, \omega_n)$ for $\mathbb{B}_k^q$ associated to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^q(X, L^k)$.

Proof. Using the extremal property 4.3 of $\mathbb{B}_k$, the upper bound follows immediately from the previous theorem. In particular $k^{-n}B_I(x)$ tends to zero unless $I = I_0$ (using frames as in section 3.2). Hence, it is enough to prove the lower bound for the trace $B_k(x)$ of $\mathbb{B}_k$. But this is containded in the asymptotics 3.9 proved in [1] by constructing a sequence of low-energy forms that become sufficiently large at the point x, when k tends to infinity. The corresponding result for $\mathcal{H}^q(X, L^k)$ follows just as in the proof of theorem 2.1 in part 1, now using proposition 3.2. □

The following corollary is obtained just as in part 1:

Corollary 5.3. *Let T_f be the super Toeplitz operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq\nu_k}(X, L^k)$ with symbol form f. Then*

$$\lim_k k^{-n}\, TrT_f = \pi^{-n}\int_X f\wedge\chi^{q,q}\left|det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x\right|\wedge e^{\omega'}.$$

The right hand side may also be written as

$$(2\pi)^{-n}\int_X f_X(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n.$$

If $X(q-1)$ and $X(q+1)$ are empty then the corresponding result holds for the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^q(X, L^k)$.

Now we can give the weak convergence of the Bergman kernel stated in an invariant way.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\mathbb{K}_k$ be the Bergman kernel form of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq\nu_k}(X,L^k)$ and suppose that f and g are forms in $\Omega^{(0)}(X,\mathbb{C})$. Then*

$$k^{-n}\int_{X\times X} f(x)\wedge g(y)\wedge\mathbb{K}_k(x,y)\wedge\mathbb{K}(x,y)^{\dagger}_k\wedge e^{\Phi_k(x,y)}\to(2\pi)^{-n}\int_X f_\chi g_\chi(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n, \tag{5.2}$$

where $\Phi_k(x,y)=-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)+\omega'(x)+\omega'(y)$. If $X(q-1)$ and $X(q+1)$ are empty then the corresponding result holds for the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}^q(X,L^k)$.

Proof. Let us first assume that the Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq\nu_k}(X,L^k)$. Consider a subset $U\times V$ of X, where U and V are open sets in $X(q)$ with associated local frames e^I_U and e^J_V. By using a partition of unity it is enough to prove the convergence with $X\times X$ replaced by $U\times V$ for any such product. Moreover, by linearity we may assume that $f(x)=F(x)e^{L,L}(x)$ and $g(y)=G(y)e^{M,M}(y)$, where F and G are functions and $e^{I,I}$ is an abrevations for $e^I\wedge e^{I\dagger}$. Note that f_χ is equal to F if $L\bigcap I_0$ is empty and vanishes otherwise. Using the special form of f and g, the integral 5.2 can be written as

$$\sum k^{-n}\int_{U\times V}F(x)G(y)\left|K_{IJ}(x,y)\right|^2e^{-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)}\omega_n(x)\wedge\omega_n(y), \tag{5.3}$$

where the sum is over all (I,J) such that $L\bigcap I$ and $M\bigcap J$ are empty. Let us now show that

$$\lim_k k^{-n}\int_{U\times V}\left|K_{IJ}(x,y)\right|^2e^{-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)}\omega_n(x)\wedge\omega_n(y)=0, \tag{5.4}$$

unless $U\times V$ is contained in $X(q)\times X(q)$ and $(I,J)=(I_0,J_0)$ for the special indices related to the direction form $\chi^{q,q}$ as in 3.11. To see this, assume for example that U is in the complement of $X(q)$ or $I\neq I_0$ on U. The integral above is trivially estimated by the limit of

$$k^{-n}\sum_J\int_{U\times X}\left|K_{IJ}(x,y)\right|^2e^{-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)}\omega_n(x)\wedge\omega_n(y),$$

Note that the latter integral may be written as the integral of $\left\|\mathbb{K}_{x,J}\right\|^2e^{-k\phi(x)}$ over all x in U. Now, by the reproducing property 4.4 this integral equals $\int_U B_I\omega_n$, which vanishes if U is in the complement of $X(q)$ or $I\neq I_0$, by theorem 5.2. This proves 5.4. Using 5.4 we may now write the limit of 5.3 as

$$\lim_k k^{-n}\int_{U\times V}f_\chi(x)g_\chi(y)\left|K_{I_0J_0}(x,y)\right|^2e^{-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)}\omega_n(x)\wedge\omega_n(y).$$

Hence to prove the theorem it is is enough to show that if $U\times V$ is contained in $X(q)\times X(q)$, the following holds:

$$\lim_k k^{-n}\sum_J\int_{U\times V}h(x,y)\left|K_{I_0J}(x,y)\right|^2=\int_{U\bigcap X(q)}h(x,x)(\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n, \tag{5.5}$$

for any test function $h(x,y)$, integrating with respect to $e^{-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)}\omega_n(x)\wedge \omega_n(y)$ in the left hand side (using 5.4 again). To this end, recall the relation between K and an extremal α at the point x in the direction $\overline{e^{I_0}}$:

$$\sum_J |K_{I_0J}(x,y)|^2 e^{-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)} = |\alpha(y)|^2 e^{-k\phi(y)} B_{I_0}(x),$$

given in lemma 4.1. Now the proof of 5.5, just as the proof of theorem 2.4, is based on the observation that a sequence of extremals α_k at the point x in the direction I_0 satisfies the localization property

$$\lim_k \|\alpha_k\|^2_{B_{R_k}} = 1. \tag{5.6}$$

To show this, note that by theorem 3.9

$$\lim_k k^{-n} \left|\alpha_k^{I_0}(x)\right|^2 e^{-k\phi(x)} = \lim_k k^{-n} B_{I_0}(x) = \pi^{-n} \left| det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x \right| \tag{5.7}$$

and by theorem 5.1

$$\limsup k^{-n} \left|\alpha_k^{I_0}(x)\right|^2 e^{-k\phi(x)} / \|\alpha\|^2_{B_{R_k}(x)} \leq \pi^{-n} \left| det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x \right| . \tag{5.8}$$

Now 5.6 follows from 5.7 together with 5.8 just as in the proof of theorem 2.4. Indeed, for a fixed point x the mass of

$$k^{-n} \sum_J |K_{I_0J}(x,y)|^2 e^{-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)}$$

considered as a function of y becomes localized close to $y = x$,when k rw

Finally, assume that $X(q-1)$ and $X(q+1)$ are empty and consider the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^q(X, L^k)$. Using the L^1-convergence in 3.9 one sees that 5.6 holds almost everywhere on X for a subsequence of (α_k). As in the proof of theorem 2.4 this is enough to prove the convergence of $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ stated in the theorem. □

To formulate the convergence in terms of the local matrix elements of the Bergman kernel, let e^I be a local frame as in section 3.2 on the open set U in X. Then we may write the convergence on $U \times U$ in the following suggestive way

$$\lim_k k^{-n} |K_{IJ}(x,y)|^2 e^{-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)} = \delta(x-y)\delta_{IJ} 1_{X(q)}(x) 1_q(I) \pi^{-n} \left| det_\omega(\frac{i}{2}\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_x \right|$$

where $1_q(I) = 1$ if $I = I_0$ and zero otherwise. As in part 1 the convergence may also be formulated in terms of (super) Toeplitz operators:

Corollary 5.5. *Let T_f and T_g be the super Toeplitz operators on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq \nu_k}(X, L^k)$ with symbol forms f anf g, respectively. Then*

$$\lim_k k^{-n} Tr(T_f T_g) = \lim_k k^{-n} Tr(T_{f_X g_X}).$$

If $X(q-1)$ and $X(q+1)$ are empty then the corresponding result holds for the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}^q(X, L^k)$.

Proof. By definition we have that for any α and β in $\mathcal{H}^{0,q}$

$$(T_f\alpha,\beta)=\int_X f\wedge\alpha\wedge\beta^\dagger e^{-k\phi+\omega'}.$$

Choosing $\alpha=T_g\psi$ and $\beta=\Psi$ and and expressing T_f in terms of the Bergman kernel form 4.6 gives

$$(T_fT_g\Psi,\Psi)=\int_{X\times X} f(x)\wedge g(x)\wedge\Psi(x)\wedge\mathbb{K}_k(x,y)\wedge\Psi(y)^\dagger\wedge e^{\Phi_k(x,y)},$$

where $\Phi_k(x,y)=-k\phi(x)-k\phi(y)+\omega'(x)+\omega'(y)$. Finally, if we let Ψ be an orthonormal base element Ψ_i and sum over all i the corollary follows from the previous theorem. □

Finally, the following theorem expresses the asymptotic distribution of the eigenvalues of a super Toeplitz operator in terms of the symbol of the operator and the curvature of the line bundle L.

Theorem 5.6. *Let T_f be the Toeplitz operator with form symbol f on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}^q_{\leq\nu_k}(X,L^k)$. Let (τ_i) be the eigenvalues of T_f and denote by $d\xi_k$ the spectral measure of T_f divided by k^n, i.e.*

$$d\xi_k:=k^{-n}\sum_i\delta_{\tau_i},$$

where δ_{τ_i} is the Dirac measure centered at τ_i. Then $d\xi_k$ tends, in the weak-topology, to the push forward of the measure $(2\pi)^{-n}(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$ under the map f_χ, i.e.*

$$\lim_i k^{-n}\sum_i a(\tau_i)=(2\pi)^{-n}\int a(f_\chi(x)(i\partial\overline{\partial}\phi)_n$$

for any mesurable function a on the real line. If $X(q-1)$ and $X(q+1)$ are empty then the corresponding result holds for the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}^q(X,L^k)$.

Proof. As in part 1 we just have to prove the theorem for a equal to the characteristic function of a half interval, i.e. for the counting function of T_f. Using a partition of unity and the max-min-principle we may assume that f is supported in a small open set U and is of the form $Fe^{I,I}$, where F is a function on U. By a comparison argument it is enough to prove the theorem for F a characteristic function 1_Ω, just as in the proof of theorem 2.6 in part 1. Furthermore, as previously we just have to show that

$$\lim_k k^{-n}TrT_f^2=\lim_k k^{-n}TrT_f.$$

To this end, observe that for $f=1_\Omega e^{I,I}$ clearly $f_\chi^2=f_\chi$. Hence, corollary 5.5 shows that $\lim_k TrT_f^2=\lim_k TrT_{f_\chi}$, which finally is equal to $\lim_k TrT_f$, by corollary 5.3. □

Acknowledgement 5.7. The author wishes to thank his advisor Bo Berndtsson for many interesting discussions and useful suggestions.

References

[1] Berman, R: Bergman kernels and local holomorphic Morse inequalities (arXiv.org/abs/math.CV/0211235), to appear in Math Z.

[2] Berndtsson, Bo: Bergman kernels related to hermitian line bundles over compact comlex manifolds. Explorations in complex and Riemannian geometry, 1–17, Contemp. Math, 332, Amer. Math. Soc, Providence, RI, 2003.

[3] Borthwick, D;Klimek,S;Lesniewski, A; Rinaldi, M: Super Toeplitz operators and nonperturbative deformation quantization of supermanifolds. Comm. Math. Phys. 153 (1993)

[4] Bouche, T: Asymptotic results for Hermitian line bundles over complex manifolds: the heat kernel approach. Higher-dimensional complex varieties (Trento, 1994), 67–81, de Gruyter, Berlin, 1996.

[5] Boutet de Monvel, L-Guillemin, V: The spectral theory of Toeplitz operators. Annals of Mathematical Studies, 99. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1981

[6] Boutet de Monvel,L-Sjöstrand, J: Sur la singularite des noyaux de Bergman et Szegö: Journees: Equations aux derivees partielles de Rennes (1975), 123–164, Asterisque, No. 34-35. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1976

[7] Cartier, P: DeWitt-Morette, C; Ihl, M; Sämann, C: Supermanifolds-applications to supersymmetry.

[8] Demailly, J-P: Champs magnetiques et inegalite de Morse pour la d”-cohomologie., Ann Inst Fourier, 355 (1985,185-229)

[9] Demailly, J-P: Holomorphic Morse inequalities. Several complex variables and complex geometry, Part 2 (Santa Cruz, CA, 1989), 93-114

[10] DeWitt, B Supermanifolds. Second edition. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992

[11] Donnelly, H: Spectral theory for tensor products of Hermitian holomorphic line bundles. Math. Z. 245 (2003) no. 1, 31–35

[12] Griffiths, P; Harris, J: Principles of algebraic geometry. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.

[13] Guillemin, V: Some classical theorems in spectral theory revisited. 219–259 in Seminar on Singularities of Solutions of Linear Partial Differential Equations. Annals of Mathematics Studies, 91. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J, 1979.

[14] Hörmander, L: L^2 estimates and existence theorems for the $\overline{\partial}-$operator. Acta Math. 113 1965 89–152.

[15] Lindholm, N: Sampling in weighted L^p spaces of entire functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and estimates of the Bergman kernel. J. Funct. Anal. 182 (2001), no. 2, 390–426

[16] Tian, G: On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds. J. Differential Geom. 32 (1990), no. 1, 99–130

[17] Witten, E: Supersymmetry and Morse theory. J. Differential Geom. 17 (1982), no. 4, 661–692.

[18] Zelditch, S: Szegö kernels and a theorem of Tian. Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1998, no. 6, 317–331.

E-mail address: `robertb@math.chalmers.se`